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#### Abstract

A new concept of holomorphy in pseudo-Euclidean spaces is briefly presented. The set of extended Cauchy-Riemannn differential equations, which are verified by the holomorphic functions, is obtained. A form of the general pseudo-rotation matrix was developed. The generalized d'Alembert- operator and extended Poisson's equations are defined. Applying these resultsto the relativistic space-time, the charge conservation and general Maxwell equations are derived.


## 1 Introduction

In a paper [1], published in 1981, Salingaros proposed an extension of the Cauchy-Riemann equations of holomorphy to fields in higher-dimensional spaces. He formulated the theory of holomorphic fields by using Clifford algebras [2]. In the Minkowski space-time he found out that the equations of holomorphy are identical with the Maxwell equations in vacuum.

In the present article we introduce a different definition of monogenity/holomorphy applied to vector functions in a pseudo-Eudidean space. This enables us to obtain a set of equations, which applied to the Minkowski space-time, lead to general Maxwell equations and to the charge conservation law. All physical quantities involved in the ongoing presentation are expressed in geometric units [3], i.e. meters.

## 2 Preliminary

### 2.1 Pseudo-rotation and its transformation matrix

Let us consider a Riemannian n-dimensional space with the metric [4]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{2} s_{x}=\sum_{i, k=1}^{n} g_{i k} d x_{i} d x_{k} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the $g_{i k}$ coefficients are constant, then the space is called pseudo-Eudidean and the coordinate system is rectilinear. Using linear transformations we obtain a new coordinates system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}^{\prime}=x_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots \ldots \ldots . . x_{n}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Below we have the expression of the Jacobian matrix of this transformation.

$$
J=\left[\frac{\partial x^{\prime}}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial x^{\prime}}{\partial x_{2}}, \ldots . \frac{\partial x^{\prime}}{\partial x_{n}}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\frac{\partial x_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial x_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{2}} & \cdot & \frac{\partial x_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{n}}  \tag{1.3}\\
\frac{\partial x_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial x_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{2}} & \cdot & \frac{\partial x_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{n}} \\
\frac{\partial x_{n}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial \dot{x}_{n}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{2}} & \cdot & \frac{\partial \dot{x}_{n}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{n}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

If the value of $d s_{x}$ remains unmodified, then this transformation will be generally named a pseudorotation The transformation becomes pure rotation in the case of Eudidean spaces.

$$
\begin{align*}
& d^{2} s_{x}=\sum_{i, k=1}^{n} g_{i k} d x_{i} d x_{k}=\sum_{i, k}^{n} g_{i k}^{\prime} d x_{i}^{\prime} d x_{k}^{\prime}=d^{2} s_{x^{\prime}} \\
& \frac{d^{2} s_{x^{\prime}}}{d^{2} s_{x}}=1 \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We will consider further only transformations where $g_{i k}=g_{i k}^{\prime}$.

Developing the differentials in the right side of the first equation (1.4) and identifying, it obtains the following important relationship:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{j p}=\sum_{i, k=1}^{n} g_{i k} \frac{\partial x_{i}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial x_{k}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{p}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2 Holomorphy in n-dimensional spaces

If it considered a vector fieldf $=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots . . f_{n}\right)$, defined on an n-dimensional space, then its Jacobi matrix is as follows:

$$
J=\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}, \ldots \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} & \cdot & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{n}}  \tag{1.6}\\
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} & \cdot & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{n}} \\
\frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial \dot{f}_{n}}{\partial x_{2}} & \cdot & \frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial x_{n}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The differential of this field function can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mathbf{f}=\left(d f_{1}, d f_{2}, \ldots \ldots . d f_{n}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the metric definition (1.1) we may write the norm of this differential expression $d^{2} s_{f}=\sum_{i, k=1}^{n} g_{i k} d f_{i} d f_{k}$

## Definition

A vector fieldf $=\left[\mathrm{f}_{1}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{f}_{2}(\mathrm{x}), \ldots \ldots . . . \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})\right]$, where $_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots . \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$, is said to be monogenic at point $x$ of the space if the ratio:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{x}}}=\frac{\sum_{i, k=1}^{n} g_{i k} \mathrm{df}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{df}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\sum_{i, k=1}^{n} g_{i k} d x_{i} \mathrm{dx}_{\mathrm{k}}}= \pm \Omega^{2}(x), \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists and is unique at this point. If a vector field $f$ is monogenic in all the points belonging to a set D in space, then $f$ is holomorphic in the set $D$.

For further developments we consider only the sign + in the right side of the equation (1.8). The uniqueness condition (1.8) requires that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{j p}=\sum_{i, k=l}^{n} g_{i k}\left(\frac{l}{\Omega} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right)\left(\frac{l}{\Omega} \frac{\partial f_{k}}{\partial x_{p}}\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing with (1.5) and (1.3) it obtains the following set of equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}=\frac{\partial x_{i}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{j}}, \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i, j=1,2, \ldots \ldots . . . .$.
Equations (1.10) can be considered as the extension of the Caudhy-Riemann equations to an $n$ dimensional space. Further it will be considered only pseudo-Eudidean spaces where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{i k}=g^{\prime}{ }_{k i}=0 \\
& g_{i i}=g^{\prime}{ }_{i i}^{\prime}=c_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

More than that we may state, for simplicity, that c is either 1 or-1.

### 2.3 Pseudo-rotation matrices and associated Cauchy-Riemann equations

Let us consider a $n \times n$ matrix $M$, which performs the coordinate transformation $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ in an n dimensional space:

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdot & a_{1 n}  \tag{1.11}\\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdot & a_{2 n} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \cdot & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$M$ is a pseudo-rotation matrix if and only if its columns verify the equation (1.5). This is the necessary and sufficient condition for $M$ to be a called a pseudo-rotation matrix.
a. As a first example we consider one of the rotation matrices in the two dimensional Eudidean space, where $c_{1}=c_{2}=1$.

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \gamma & \sin \gamma \\
-\sin \gamma & \cos \gamma
\end{array}\right]
$$

Using (1.10) it obtains the original Cauchy-Riemann equations, known from complex analysis

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}  \tag{1.12}\\
& \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}=-\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

Considering the definition (1.8), the conditions (1.12) are not unique. An alternate valid form of a rotation matrix in this space could be:

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \gamma & \sin \gamma \\
\sin \gamma & -\cos \gamma
\end{array}\right]
$$

Consequently the extended Cauchy-Riemann equations look differently:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}=-\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}  \tag{1.13}\\
& \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

In both cases the functions are harmonic and satisfy the Laplace's equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

b. A pseudo-rotation matrix in a two-dimensional pseudo-Eudidean space, where $\mathrm{c}_{1}=1$ and $\mathrm{c}_{2}=1$, can have the following form:

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cosh \gamma & -\sinh \gamma \\
-\sin \gamma & \cosh \gamma
\end{array}\right]
$$

$M$ is the matrix of the Lorenz transformations in the two dimensional space( $x_{2}$ )-time $\left(x_{1}\right)$, and the corresponding Cauchy-Riemann equations system is shown below:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} \tag{1.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The above functions satisfy the wave equation in one space dimension.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} \tag{1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.4 Generalized d'Alembert operator and extended Poisson's equations

As we have seen in the previous paragraph, the pseudo-rotation matrices on the same space are not identical and their freedom degree grows with the dimensions number, n . This implies that for the same type of space there are different Cauchy-Riemann equations which provide necessary conditions for a vector field $\mathbf{f}$ to be holomorphic. One of possible forms of a general pseudo-rotation matrix may be as follows:

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdot & a_{1 n}  \tag{1.16}\\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdot & a_{2 n} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & , & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & . & \alpha_{n} \\
\alpha_{2} & c_{2}-\frac{\alpha_{2}^{2}}{c_{1}-\alpha_{1}} & . & -\frac{\alpha_{2} \alpha_{n}}{c_{1}-\alpha_{1}} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\alpha_{n} & -\frac{\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}}{c_{1}-\alpha_{1}} & \cdot & c_{n}-\frac{\alpha_{n}{ }^{2}}{c_{1}-\alpha_{1}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

It can be verified that all columns of M satisfy the equation (1.5), and also that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i k}=a_{k i} \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us process the elements of the diagonal which starts with $\alpha_{1}$, in according with the following relationship

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{\text {diagonal }}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} a_{i i} \\
& S_{\text {diagonal }}=c_{1} \alpha_{1}+c_{2}\left(c_{2}-\frac{\alpha_{2}^{2}}{c_{1}-\alpha_{1}}\right)+c_{3}\left(c_{3}-\frac{\alpha_{3}^{2}}{c_{1}-\alpha_{1}}\right) \ldots \ldots .+c_{n}\left(c_{n}-\frac{\alpha_{n}{ }^{2}}{c_{1}-\alpha_{1}}\right)  \tag{1.18}\\
& S_{\text {diagonal }}=n-1+\frac{\alpha_{1}-c_{1} \alpha_{1}^{2}-c_{2} \alpha_{2}^{2} \ldots \ldots-c_{n} \alpha_{n}{ }^{2}}{c_{1}-1}=n-1+\frac{\alpha_{1}-c_{1}}{c_{1}-\alpha_{1}}=n-2
\end{align*}
$$

For the four-dimensional Minkowski space-time, $c_{1}=1, c_{2}=c_{3}=c_{4}=1$, the corresponding matrix becomes:

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} \\
\alpha_{2} & 1+\frac{\alpha_{2}{ }^{2}}{1+\alpha_{1}} & \frac{\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}}{1+\alpha_{1}} & \frac{\alpha_{2} \alpha_{4}}{1+\alpha_{1}} \\
\alpha_{3} & \frac{\alpha_{3} \alpha_{2}}{1+\alpha_{1}} & 1+\frac{\alpha_{3}{ }^{2}}{1+\alpha_{1}} & \frac{\alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}}{1+\alpha_{1}} \\
\alpha_{4} & \frac{\alpha_{4} \alpha_{2}}{1+\alpha_{1}} & \frac{\alpha_{4} \alpha_{3}}{1+\alpha_{1}} & 1+\frac{\alpha_{4}{ }^{2}}{1+\alpha_{1}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Taking the appropriate substitutions and computing, it obtains the matrix of the standard Lorenz transformations as you can see in the reference [5] (equations 1.17 and the corresponding matrix).
Using the equations (1.17), (1.18) and (1.10) it obtains the following relationships:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{k}}=\frac{\partial f_{k}}{\partial x_{i}}  \tag{1.19}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}=(n-2) \Omega=\Lambda
\end{align*}
$$

Processing (1.19) it arrives to the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{k}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}=\partial^{2} f_{k}=\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial x_{k}} \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further the symbol $\partial^{2}$ will be named d'Alembert operator of the $n$-dimensional pseudo-Eudidean space. The equation (1.20) is denominated the extended Poisson's equation in the same space.

For $n=2$ it obtains the Laplace equations and the wave equations, previously developed in the paragraph 1.2.

For the Minkowski space-time, with the signature $(-1,1,1,1)$, it will be used further the standard denomination of the coordinates, i.e. $t, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$.

The corresponding vector field has the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}=\left(T, X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right) \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equations (1.20) it obtains:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial^{2} \mathrm{~T}=\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \mathrm{t}} \\
& \partial^{2} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}} \tag{1.22}
\end{align*}
$$

The symbol $\Lambda=2 \Omega$ represents a function at the $\operatorname{point} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{x}_{3}\right)$.
$X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ are the components of the following vector in the three-dimensional Euclidean space:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} X_{i} \mathrm{e}_{\mathbf{i}} \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{i}$ are unit vectors along the Cartesian axes of this space.
The last three equations of the system (1.22) will be packed together, and so the system takes the following format:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial^{2} \mathrm{X}=\nabla \Lambda \\
& \partial^{2} \mathrm{~T}=\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \mathrm{t}} \tag{1.24}
\end{align*}
$$

In the system above it was used the "del" operator in the three dimensional Euclidean space.
If we consider the pair of inhomogeneous wave equations ${ }^{6}$ for electromagnetic potentials, then the system (1.24) shows a perfect similarity. We are very tempted to identify $T$ with the electro-magnetic scalar potential and the vector $\mathbf{X}$ with the vector potential, but it does not work because the first equation of the system (1.19) requires that the curl-operator or rotation-operator of $X$ must be zero. This is not generally valid for a real electro-magnetic vector-potential.

## 3 Cassical Electrodynamics and Mexwell equations

### 3.1 Alternative Cauchy-Riemann equations in Space-Time.

There is a class of matrices in the Minkowski space-time which fulfills the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{4} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ii}}=0 \\
& \mathrm{a}_{12}+\mathrm{a}_{21}=\mathrm{c}_{2}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \mathrm{a}_{13}+\mathrm{a}_{31}=\mathrm{c}_{3} \\
& \mathrm{a}_{14}+\mathrm{a}_{41}=\mathrm{c}_{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing by partial derivatives, in according with equations (1.10) and using the usual coordinate's notation for Minkowski space-time, it obtains:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \mathrm{T}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}+\nabla \cdot \mathrm{X}=0 \\
& \nabla \mathrm{~T}+\frac{\partial \mathrm{X}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{C}}{\partial \mathrm{t}} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

We also can obtain the equations system (2.2) considering a vector field, $\mathrm{f}=\left(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{X}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{X}_{2}{ }_{2}, \mathrm{X}_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ in Minkowski space-time whichfulfilsthe conditions (1.19). If $X^{\prime}$ representsthe corresponding vector in the three dimensional Eudidean space, then respective equations become:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{\partial \mathrm{T}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}+\nabla \cdot \mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\Lambda=\nabla \cdot \mathrm{C}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \nabla \mathrm{~T}-\frac{\partial \mathrm{X}^{\prime}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Now let us make the substitution: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$
Replacing in (2.3) we arrive again at the system (2.2). The second equation of the system (2.2) implies that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times \mathrm{X}=\nabla \times \mathrm{C} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us convert to the Sl system (see reference [3]) identifying the scalar part with the scalar potential and the vector part with the vector potential. Further we will use the symbols shown in the reference [6] for these potentials. It obtains the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{c}^{2} \nabla \mathrm{~A}=0 \\
& \nabla \Phi+\frac{\partial \mathrm{A}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{C}}{\partial \mathrm{t}} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Where c is the velocity of light in SI units system. Processing further we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2} \Phi-\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial \mathrm{t}^{2}}=\nabla \bullet\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{C}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
& \nabla(\nabla \bullet \mathrm{A})-\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{~A}}{\partial \mathrm{t}^{2}}=-\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{C}}{\partial \mathrm{t}^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

But:
$\nabla(\nabla \bullet A)=\nabla^{2} \mathrm{~A}+\nabla \times(\nabla \times \mathrm{A})$, and finally the system (2.6) becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{2} \mathrm{~A}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{~A}}{\partial \mathrm{t}^{2}}=-\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{C}}{\partial \mathrm{t}^{2}}-\nabla \times(\nabla \times \mathrm{A})=-\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{C}}{\partial \mathrm{t}^{2}}-\nabla \times(\nabla \times \mathrm{C})  \tag{2.7}\\
& \nabla^{2} \Phi-\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial \mathrm{t}^{2}}=\nabla \cdot\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{C}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.2 Charge conservation and Maxwell's Equations

Identifying (2.7) with inhomogeneous wave equations presented by Feynman [6] we find the expressions for charge and current density.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho=-\varepsilon_{0} \nabla \cdot\left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{C}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{j}=\varepsilon_{0}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{C}}{\partial \mathrm{t}^{2}}+\mathrm{c}^{2} \nabla \times(\nabla \times \mathrm{C})\right] \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Processing the equations (2.8) it obtains immediately the well known equation of charge conservation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \mathrm{j}=-\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Usingthe equations (2.5 we can writefurther the expressions of electric field intensity $\boldsymbol{E}$ and the magnetic induction $\mathbf{B}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{E}=-\nabla \Phi-\frac{\partial \mathrm{A}}{\partial t}=-\frac{\partial \mathrm{C}}{\partial t},  \tag{2.10}\\
& \boldsymbol{B}=\nabla \times \mathrm{A}=\nabla \times \mathrm{C}
\end{align*}
$$

## a. The first Maxwell'slaw

Taking the divergence of $\mathbf{E}$ and using the first equation of the system (2.8) it obtains the first Maxwell's law:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \bullet E=\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_{0}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

b. Thesecond Maxwell'slaw

Taking the curl of Eand comparing with the expression of $\mathbf{B}$ we get immediately the second law:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times \mathrm{E}=-\frac{\partial \mathrm{B}}{\partial t} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

c. The third Maxwell'slaw

The third Maxwell's equation is evident because $\mathbf{B}$ is curl $\mathbf{C}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot B=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## d. Thefourth Maxwell'slaw

Processing the second equation of the system (2.8) and taking into consideration (2.10) we found finally the fourth law:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2} \nabla \times \mathrm{B}=\frac{\mathbf{j}}{\varepsilon_{0}}+\frac{\partial \mathrm{E}}{\partial t} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Conclusion

The Lorenz Transformation equations have been initially derived searching for a transformation, which leaves the Maxwell's equations invariant [7]. The immediate consequence of the Lorenztransformations is Enstein's Special Relativity. In the present contribution we found that any four-vector, which is holomorphic in a domain of the space-time, must verify the system (1.10). As a first application we rediscovered the law of charge conservation and all four Maxwell's equations.
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