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Asceticism and mysticism (ṣūfism) were both topics which medieval Arabic poets addressed in their writings. An ascetic spirit dominated the conduct of life. This meant abstention from the material pleasures of this world and preparing oneself for the hereafter by means of modest living, prayer and abstinence. Ṣūfism is perceived as a way to know and approach God, through thought, spiritual purity and other means, especially liberating oneself from earthly desires and material goods and devoting all of one's feelings and thoughts to God. Ibn al-Rūmī was influenced by the intellectual climate of the Abbasid period, especially by Ṣūfī thought. From his poetic writings we may conclude that he attempted transcend his predecessors and to formulate new ideas, making creative use of language as well; for this reason I will call this experiment a “language explosion.” By his groundbreaking use of language Ibn al-Rūmī was able to express the Ṣūfī idea with precision; through poetry and through the Ṣūfī experience he was able to create new meanings. This Abbasid poet, who was familiar with Ṣūfī texts and Ṣūfī thought, realized the potential of Ṣūfism for doing away with the obstacles posed by al-ʿAshʿārī’s writing, thought and theology, by going beyond intellectual knowledge and the traditional modes of religious worship and theological writing. This it did by adopting new forms of “exceeding the bounds” (al-tajāwuz), in the language of metaphor and incantations, excessive theurgy, ecstasy, dervish rituals and numerous unconventional theological ideas that stirred the Arab mind, such as “unification” (al-ittiḥād), “incarnation” (al-hulūl), “illuminism” (al-ishrāq) and “revelation” (al-tajallī). Our Abbasid poet found this intellectual “explosion” useful for his poetry, which followed the rules of Arab prosody in its form, and in content dealt with the problems of his age. These Ṣūfī ideas, the product of the Abbasid age as well, clearly influenced the thinking of Arab writers and intellectuals.

The evolution of Ṣūfī thought in the Abbasid period was driven by the social realities of the times, in particular by the emergence of a tendency towards asceticism, which paved the way towards mysticism. Asceticism gained ground in the Abbasid period, due to the nature of the contradictions that arose in the spirit of the age, as a necessary reaction to a tendency towards a life of opulence and pleasure-seeking. In the mosques penitents and admonitory preachers urged the people to adopt a life of asceticism and devotion to God and to abandon the pursuit
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of earthly pleasure. Among the more prominent ascetics we may mention al-Faḍīl b. ‘Ayyāḍ and Hasan al-Baṣrī in the mosque of Baṣra, as well as ‘Abd al-Wāḥid b. Zayd, Ibrāhīm b. Adham, Wahīb b. al-Ward and Bishr al-Ḥafi. This trend, augmented by the spread of poverty among the working classes, gave rise to a class of mystics and a growing tendency towards mysticism. Šūfism emerged from these circles of ascetics or, as Ibn al-Jawzī noted in his Taḥīs Iblīs, “Šūfism [arose] from among the ascetics.”

The cynicism of the age was apparently itself a motive for adopting a life of asceticism and mysticism, as happened with Ibn al-Rūmī, whom a life of excess led to asceticism. This is a phenomenon already noted by ‘Alī Ibrāhīm in his study Zuhd al-mujjān.

In addition to the above-mentioned causes for the emergence of a mystical tendency in the Abbasid period, there were also religious and psychological motives, aroused by personal experiences such as estrangement and deprivation, that made people like Ibn al-Rūmī adopt Šūfism as a way towards personal salvation. As Abū Zayd explains,

Asceticism or mysticism at some levels may be traced back to a spirit of despair that took hold of some individuals, despair of righteousness and of change for the better, fear of having one’s shameful sins exposed, a sense of wrongdoing, of shaken values and a disturbed equilibrium in life. As a result, those who were powerless retreated into asceticism and distanced themselves from life’s temptations.

Šūfism became widespread and developed numerous creeds and sects. The best-known dispute among them was that between the supporters of ‘Alī and the Abbasid caliphs. The latter felt close to the Prophet and to ‘Alī b. Abī Ṭālib, argued that he should have been appointed caliph after the Prophet’s death, praised his qualities as scholar and claimed that he was superior in standing, knowledge, letters and faith to the caliphs. Ibn al-Rūmī belonged to the ‘Alawī school and was clearly influenced by its arguments, although he also close to some Abbasid caliphs and governors.

Ibn al-Rūmī’s initial theological forays (he experimented with mysticism, materialism and speculative dogmatism [iʿtīzāl], but not all these came to fruition) seems to have been into iʿtīzāl. He attempted to approach the thinking of the Muʿtazilites through the school of free will (al-qadariyya), as can be seen in some of his poems, for example:

The creed of justice and monotheism unites us
Not the similar ones who dissuade and disavow

This declaration is evidence for Ibn al-Rūmī’s Muʿtazilite inclinations. Justice and monotheism, the creed that unites them according to the poem, are two basic principles of the
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Muʿtazila, which believed that man must strive towards attaining the ranks of the just and perform every action in a just and measured manner, in line with the Qurʾānic statement: “We have created all things in due measure”. The Muʿtazila’s position on God’s attributes was derived from its belief in tawḥīd, God’s oneness. It therefore maintained that God’s attributes as expressed in the Qurʾān must not be understood in their literal meaning. The conclusion of the Muʿtazila from this line of argument was that the Qurʾān was not to be considered God’s word, but rather an act of God. However, this conclusion cannot be applied to Ibn al-Rūmī, whose uncertainty and dissimulation can be seen in his texts.

Ibn al-Rūmī’s path towards asceticism may seem rather unconventional, but was perhaps not so in the Abbasid period, as we noted above, a period in which an “asceticism of the wanton” was common. Through his shameless behavior Ibn al-Rūmī tried to console his grief- and pain-stricken soul, as he writes in the following lines:

My old age loomed, in which I exulted
Like the edge of an adorned first beard
Youth took possession and ran ever more
In the fields of my futility
Whoever has been wronged by time
Deserves to amuse himself more than any man.

In these verses Ibn al-Rūmī presents his philosophy concerning life’s afflictions. He says that time has brought him old age and worries, which he warded off by rejoicing like a young man. The more he was beset by old age and futility, the more he escaped into pleasures. Ibn al-Rūmī declares that a person afflicted by evil has the right to refresh himself with amusements. Ibn al-Rūmī was driven to asceticism by the general tragic situation in Abbasid society, its deviations and the social evils that beset it, such as avarice, spite, miserliness and deception, and the duplicity and hypocrisy of the rulers. In the following line he criticizes the hypocrisy in society in the manner of the ascetics:

Hypocrisy took possession of his nature, like a fox
Its wickedness in its frenzy rejected kindness.

The evil of hypocrisy dominates men and, in the simile used by Ibn al-Rūmī, gives them the attributes of a fox in its frenzy. He constantly discusses mankind’s quarrel with time, as if he were a believer in the unavoidability of fate. Here is his depiction of someone who tries to escape his fate, with destiny the only victor:

O you who flees from his fate
Fate has reached you on its horse
Driving ?????

Wāsīl b. Ṭātāʾ al-Baṣrī. Its theology preferred the use of logic over textual stipulations. It debated such complex theological topics as the denial of God’s attributes (taʿṭīl), extraction of human actions from God’s will, the status of the perpetrators of great sins, God’s promises and threats towards His servants on earth, and promotion of good and forbidding of evil. See: al-Shahrastānī, 2000, 39-40; al-Baghdādī, 1995, 112-115.
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To a distance that cannot be reached
Its face following its head
Like the summer day follows the night.

In this metaphorical image fate appears as frightening roaming horseman who pursues a man who is terrified of death and constantly flees from it.27

As noted above, Ibn al-Rūmī’s poems reflect ṣūfī thought. They urge one to adopt an ascetic way of life and spiritual modesty. The poems are replete with easily identifiable ṣūfī terms and ideas typical of the Gnostic transcendentalism and illuminism adopted by mystics of the Middle Ages.28 Ibn al-Rūmī’s frequent use of these ideas in his poetry can be seen in the following examples. In a poem calling for asceticism he says:29

One continuously invokes the Eternal One
Alone in the darkness of the night
In his bowels his fears cause
Flames to sting his liver
Whenever he underwent (God’s) admonishments
Tears flowed continuously from his eye30
Saying: O my utmost hope
?? from whom I fear tomorrow
Woe unto my eyes which have seen evil
Woe unto my heart that has thought evil.

In these verses Ibn al-Rūmī describes a man’s private conversation with his Creator. The conversation takes place at night, a time when a man can be alone with God. The man’s soul is aflame in fear of God’s wrath (perhaps because Ibn al-Rūmī recognizes the sins of excess he had committed in the days before he became an ascetic). When he mentions God’s promises and admonishments for His servants his tears flow in fear. In his conversation with God he describes Him as his “utmost hope” in this plague-stricken and sorrowful world. He beseeches Him and blames himself for the sins of the past.

The poet’s pain and tears, his burning heart and the sense of sin expressed in this poem, all testify to its ṣūfī nature. To become a Ṣūfī one must first be a murīd (“novice”), a stage during which one is expected to repent with honest tears before God, when alone in His presence at a ṣūfī ḥadra (“gathering”) at night.31 In this poem Ibn al-Rūmī eventually reaches God, his “utmost hope”, the same extremity reached by the mystics following their sufferings in this world.

In the course of reading Ibn al-Rūmī’s poetic oeuvre I encountered numerous ṣūfī ideas which superficially, when given their ordinary meanings, appear as traditional ideas used by poets, but which in their allegorical-transcendental interpretations can all be given purely ṣūfī meanings which can be encountered among the mystical poets of the Middle Ages, who wrote about wine, drunkenness, love, passion and carousing, all of which were in fact used
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allegorically in reference to God. Thus the love they wrote about was love of the divine, and the same is true of the others. This can be seen in the writings of Ibn al-Fārid and Muḥyī al-Dīn b. al-ʿArabī in his famous Turjumān al-ashwāq, Jalāl al-Dīn al-Rūmī in his al-Mathnawī, al-Ḥallāj, Rābiʿa al-ʿAdwiyya, 'Umar al-Khayyām and al-Suhrawardī (“the Murdered Master”) in his Hayākil al-nūr.

Many of Ibn al-Rūmī’s poems about wine and love appear licentious at first glance. However, I believe that they express ṣūfī ideas. This claim is supported first of all by the previously noted pseudo-contradiction inherent what we called above “asceticism of the wanton”, and also by the fact, also discussed above, that Ibn al-Rūmī sought to extend language and create a kind of poetic “modernism”, especially with respect to his masochistic desire to express his pain and grief, and the blows that he suffered from fate. Here are a number of examples. In one poem he says:

Al-ʿIrāqī made wine (al-nabīdh) and the imbibing thereof licit
And said: The two forbidden things are drinking bouts and drunkenness
Al-Ḥijāzī said: The two (water and wine) are one
And although they are different, wine (al-khamr) is permitted.
I shall take from both their respective views
And drink both, without differentiating between the carrier and the load.

In these verses Ibn al-Rūmī expresses his views on a disputed issue in Islam, namely whether or not wine is forbidden. One scholar (al-ʿIrāqī) maintains that drinking al-nabīdh is permitted, but drinking bouts and drunkenness are forbidden, while another scholar is of the opinion that al-khamr is like water, and therefore may be drunk without restriction. Ibn al-Rūmī summarizes the dispute and says “I will drink al-khamr and adopt both opinions”. The question we must ask here is if by al-khamr he means the usual kind of wine, with alcohol. The answer can hardly be in the affirmative, since no know school of Islamic jurisprudence permits drinking wine; in fact, both of the views mentioned in the poem do not correspond to Islamic law. We may therefore hypothesize that here he speaks about the ṣūfī concept of “divine wine”. This would make the debate in the poem comprehensible: Does Islamic law permit drinking “divine wine”, or even to become inebriated with it? Or perhaps what is meant by “bouts and drunkenness” is the ṣūfī gathering (ḥadora) at which the novice converses with God. During the period in question the status of Ṣūfism, whether it was to be accepted or rejected, was in dispute. The poet’s statement that he would adopt both views and drink wine perhaps implies that following the misfortunes that he had suffered he repented and that now that he was living an ascetic pious life he addresses the deity using the terms “wine” and “drunkenness” in their ṣūfī sense.

Elsewhere Ibn al-Rūmī again speaks about this wine and states that whoever refrains from drinking it misses out on the enjoyment of life:
The world is hearing
Cupbearers and drinking companions
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If you miss out on this
There goes the world

Our approach is supported by what we know in fact about Ibn al-Rūmī. After all, it is hardly likely that this man, who wallowed in grief and pain after he had lost everything that connected him to life on earth, would promote earthly pleasure. The only possible answer is that we must pursue an allegorical mystical interpretation, according to which Ibn al-Rūmī here calls on his readers to draw near to God and to join him on his ṣūfī path.

CONCLUSION

Ibn al-Rūmī was a talented and experienced poet who composed pioneering and path-breaking verses. He tried to innovate in the construction of his poems while still remaining within the bounds of accepted poetic standards. His attempts at transcending bounds led him to mystical ideas, based on his conviction that ṣūfī poetry and the ṣūfī experience would help him create new meanings. He used a number of ṣūfī ideas which were consistent with the ascetic and mystical world that he adopted towards the end of his life, after all doors were closed to him and he renounced the temporal world. He was also linguistically innovative, using Qur’ānic terms in their contextual Qur’ānic meanings in order to express his own private poetic meanings.
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