# Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal - Vol.2, No.7

**Publication Date:** July 25, 2015 **DoI**:10.14738/assrj.27.1274.



Obasuyi, L. & Idiodi, E. O. (2015). Influence of Library Value on University Education: Students' Perception Survey. Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal, 2(7) 120-136

# Influence of Library Value on University Education: Students' Perception Survey

## Luke Obasuyi

Principal Librarian John Harris Library, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.

## Evelyn Omoluabi Idiodi

University Librarian John Harris Library, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.

#### Abstract

This study investigates the influence of library value on students' education. Library physical infrastructures, library information resources, library personnel, library information services were independent variables related to the overall library value to students' education. Descriptive survey design method was employed in this study and the population was the regular students of a federal university in Nigeria. Simple random and equal allocation sampling methods were used to select 500 students from 10 faculties in the university. Pre-tested questionnaire with a reliability coefficient of .95 alpha was used to collect data from the students in their faculty libraries during the 2013/2014 academic session. Data collected were subjected to descriptive and inferential statistics using SPSS17.0. Results indicate that the students' perceived the library to be of great value to their education and it impacted on their academic pursuits and studies, academic performances, productivity, and career. All the independent variables significantly influenced the overall value of the library individually and collectively. While the relative contributions of the library physical infrastructures, library personnel and library information services to the value of the value were significant library information resources library Recommendations for improvement were also provided.

**Keywords:** Library value, University education, University Library, Undergraduates, Library physical infrastructures, Library personnel, Library information services, Library information resources.

### INTRODUCTION

Universities are established to promote education, scholarship, research and learning in all fields of human endeavours (Eze and Uzoigwe, 2013). The goals of university education as enshrined in the Nigerian National Policy on Education is to help students acquires both physical and intellectual skills which will make them self-reliant and useful members of the society (Federal Ministry of Education, 2004). For universities to properly educate students, the Federal Government of Nigeria advocated for a viable library in every university to provide adequate infrastructures, information resources, and services manned by qualified personnel. The extent these infrastructures, resources and services meet the educational needs of the users determines the value of the library.

Value is the quality of being useful or desirable. Therefore, the value of a library is the quality of its usefulness or desirability. Thus, the value of the library in university education is the quality of the usefulness or desirability of university library in supporting university education and there are many ways the library can demonstrate its' value. According to Creaser and Spize

(2012) libraries can show their value to teaching and research staff in terms of staff time saved, increased quality of student assignment, increased contact hour. Similarly, McCreadie (2013) opined that the level of library support and services provided to users; contribution to the parent institution missions and goals; or economic value for return on investment are ways of assessing library value. Students' educational outcome can equally be a measure of library value.

The value of academic library can be assessed by the adequacy of the library's physical facilities and infrastructures such as library building, seating capacity; air-conditioning system, lighting situation etc.; library's information resources ranging from textbooks, e-books, journals, e-journals, newspaper and magazine, abstracts and indexes, encyclopaedia, dictionaries, manuals and handbooks, AV materials, computer for general use, government publication, thesis and dissertations, grey literature, electronic and digital materials; provision of adequate library personnel; provision of adequate library services such as user education and orientation, reference services, Internet services, reprographic services, interlibrary loan services, exhibition and display, access to online databases, referral services etc.

Every university library today, is trying to demonstrate its value or contribution towards the educational mission of its parent body by impacting on students' education through the provision of improved infrastructures, resources, personnel and services. In this regard, Payne and Conyers (2005) posit that university libraries should be able to demonstrate the value of what they are doing and provide evidence of the impact they make which should lead to service improvement (Farkas (2013).

Demonstrating library value is of critical importance to all libraries; both to protect services and to serve patrons effectively and there are many ways of determining what patrons want, like, value, including surveys, focus groups, and informal methods (Brown, 2011). Students' perception survey method will be used to anchor this study to determine how the value of the library influences their educational pursuits.

The University of Benin Library system comprised of John Harris Library (The main Library), and 13 faculty aimed at bringing library services closer to the students with 201 professional and para-professionals. There are two e-libraries – MTN Foundation library and the Donald Partridge e-library with 228 computers linked to the university's fast Internet at the disposal of staff and students. The library maintains adequate lighting system with over 4,700 seating capacity, stand-by electricity generating set and air-conditions facilities in the entire library system, and it is situated in a clean environment for the comfort of library users. The library is well stocked with over 211,000 volumes of books, 344 titles of journals and presently subscribed to 172 titles of journals, and various electronic full-text information resources and databases such as E-Granary, EBSCOHost, The Essential Electronic Agricultural Library (TEEAL), Research4life etc, which are accessible in these libraries, student hostels and all over the campus. Each faculty library has at least five computers with Internet access to aid information access. Also available include large collection of pamphlets and audio visual materials (Students Affairs Division, 2014).

In the last three years, several millions of Naira has been spent in purchasing books and journals for the library in collaboration with faculty members. The library education services include annual orientation lectures, library orientation exercises, library instruction as part of GST 111 courses (the use of Library) in the university; all geared towards user education

programmes to assist the students in using the library adequately. Library services include current awareness services, selective dissemination of information (SDI), reference services, photocopying services, Internet, bindery services etc. The library organises training workshops on the use of e-databases such as TEEAL, Health Internetwork Access to Research Initiatives (HINARI), Online Access to Research in the Environment (OARE) and Agricultural Online Research Access (AGORA) for faculty and students and individualized training by specialized librarians. With these infrastructures, resources and services provided by qualified librarians, it is only imperative to evaluate the value derived by students from using these resources and services in the library.

## **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

The overall objective of the study is to determine the value of the university library and its influence on the educational pursuit of the students. Specific objectives include:

- 1. Determine the overall value of the library to the students' education.
- 2. Assess the value students placed on the library's physical facilities and infrastructures.
- 3. Ascertain the value of the library's information resources on students' education.
- 4. Find out the value students have on the library's personnel in support of their education.
- 5. Evaluate the value of the library's information services rendered to students.

## **Hypothesis**

The following null hypotheses will guide the conduct of this study and they will be tested at 0.05 level of significance.

Ho1: There is no significant difference in library value to students' education per faculty.

Ho2: Library infrastructures have no relationship with library value to students' education.

Ho3: Library information resources have no influence on library value to students' education.

Ho4: Library personnel have no relationship with library value to students' education.

Ho5: Library information services have no relationship with library value to students' education.

Ho6. There is no combined influence of library facilities, information resources, library personnel and information services on the overall value of the library to students' education.

#### **SCOPE OF THE STUDY**

There are many ways to determine the value of the library however, library's physical facilities, information resources, library personnel, information services provision approach will be used in this study. Also, determination of library value in education can be done using faculty, students or other methods. Students' assessment was used to anchor this study as the students are the primary beneficiaries of the education provided in the university and therefore they are in good position to evaluate the library. The perception of the students' was used in this study.

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

Assessing the value of the library in university education has engaged the attention of scholars in the field of library and information science in recent years. The value of university libraries can influence students' education in several ways through the provision of adequate physical infrastructures, information resources, manpower and information services. Reviewing academic library performance in 36 Colleges and Universities in the U.S., Whitmire (2002) reported that undergraduates attending research universities with greater academic library resources had higher self-reported gains in critical thinking. This means that library resources were of value and influenced the students' thinking. Also, Kuh and Gontea (2003) revealed that the library plays an important role in helping Indiana University in achieving its academic

mission by providing very valuable service and a positive learning environment for all undergraduates.

Reporting on academic library value in developing world, McCreadie (2013) findings revealed that university libraries have access to large range of high-quality information materials that offer great potentials to support faculty for research and teaching. The libraries were well perceived by faculty and evidence of teaching support exists implying that the libraries were of value to the faculty members. Investigating the use and satisfaction of library resources and services in Tezpur University, India, Saikia and Gohain (2013) found that students and research scholars were highly satisfied with the collection of online journals and library services thus the library is playing vital roles in meeting the multidimensional demands of students and research scholars information and knowledge needs. Also in India, a comparative users' evaluation of four university libraries effectiveness, Khan (2012) indicates that library infrastructures in all the universities were adequate in meeting their users' information needs and the users were satisfied.

Assessing the impact of academic libraries in Kuwait, Awadh (2012) found a positive perception of all three groups of academic libraries by students, administrators and academics; and the library collection was identified as the most important services influencing users' personal performance. At the Arab International University in Syria, Restoum and Wade (2013) found that students were satisfied with library services' quality in terms of accessibility of library collections and information resources in meeting their educational information needs. In Latvia, Paberza (2010) reported 95 percent satisfaction level of the available services in their public libraries and the libraries influenced the people leisure hour and supported their education.

Assessing the influence of libraries on students academic studies in Nigeria, Adio and Olasina (2010) posit that the library influenced students from primary school to tertiary level of education by providing information resources and services for life-long learning. Examining the place of academic libraries in university education in the Southeastern Nigeria, Eze and Uzoigwe (2013) found that most of the university libraries provided adequate variety of services - reference, Internet, interlibrary loan, and information resources -textbooks, newspapers and magazines that supported teaching, learning and research in the universities in the region. At the Kenneth Dike Library, University of Ibadan, Adeyemi and Fatoki (2013) found that the library support to learning and research was 64% and the users were satisfied with library space, photocopying, bindery services, print books and journals, library staff support, safety and security, and opening hour. The foregoing review has shown that academic libraries can impact university education through the provision of adequate infrastructures, resources and services.

The provision of adequate library infrastructures, facilities and a conducive environment can influence the value of academic library and students' educational development. Esew and Ikyembe (2013) identified inadequate material resources, poor infrastructures, absence of teaching and research facilities, inadequate ICT facilities, low bandwidth, inadequate information resources and staff training, and inadequate power supply as factors militating against library services for effective educational performance of students in universities in Nigeria. At the Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi, Isyaku (2010) reported that students' use of cell phone in the library against prohibition constitute noise, distraction and nuisance making concentration difficult which could affect serious students performances who

used the library. Adekanye (2004) found that the University of Lagos and Yaba College of Technology library buildings were grossly inadequate for the educational needs of the students due to current explosion of students and recommended improvement in reading space, stacks and climatic control. Okiy (2012) noted that despite the age long neglect in funding and infrastructural provision by government, academic libraries remain the pivots of any successful teaching and learning to produce competent manpower for national development in Nigeria. Awala-Ale (2012) concluded that existing literature confirms the need for the establishment of functional library buildings and services that will be a joy of library users. On the situation in Kenya, Ndirangu and Udoto (2011) reported that the quality of library, online resources and lecture facilities provided in Kenyan public universities were not adequate to support the desired education programmes effectively and facilitate the development of learning environment that support students and teachers in achieving their goals. Therefore, adequate infrastructures are necessary in enhancing the value of academic libraries.

Information resources are critical factors in determining the value of libraries and their impact on students' academic work. Students regard the library as a place to undergo academic works, including finding and using information resources. It is frustrating if research materials are not available for students to use in the library (Tunde and Issa, 2013). Adelaja (2014) posits that very many public university libraries in Nigeria are in appalling state due to inadequate funding as students and faculty now survives on obsolete information. When university libraries are not updated with recent information, the quality of learning and teaching is compromised and the library loses its value and relevance thus impacting negatively on students' education. Evaluating the use of library materials and services in four private universities in south west Nigeria, Ogbuiyi and Okpe (2013) concluded that the available library resources and services were grossly inadequate to achieve the educational objectives of the schools. Similarly, Onifade, Ogbuiyi and Omeluzor (2013) reported average level of satisfaction of library resources and services among postgraduate students in a Nigerian private university library therefore not meeting their educational information needs.

However, Popoola (2008) and Popoola (2009) found that the use of library information sources and services improved the research output and teaching effectiveness of social scientists in Nigerian universities while Emokiniovo and Ogunrombi (2012) reported maximum satisfaction with library materials in faculty libraries and the materials were used to meet the students' information needs for learning and research work. On the provision of eresources, Tella, Tella, Ayeni and Omoba (2007) recorded significant contribution of electronic information resources use on academic performance of education students at the University of Ibadan mostly in the areas of general education, English and Mathematics. Similarly, Oyedum (2007) revealed that Internet services at the Federal University of Technology, Minna library have tremendously improved academic performances of the users. Ukpebor (2011) results also showed that Internet use has significant influence on academic efficiency of engineering students and faculty in three universities in Edo State, Nigeria while Afolake, Oluwafemi and Olatunje (2014) concluded that Internet use by humanity students in ten universities and their academic performance are related.

Physical facilities, information resources and services cannot produce effective result without qualified personnel to manage them. Research on the value of academic libraries for teaching and research staff in the UK, USA and the Scandinavia, Creaser and Spezi (2012) found that librarians generally understood the needs of their users and provided services to meet these needs but not all teaching and research staff appreciate the level and extent of the support available from the library. In Nigerian universities, Iyishu and Nkanu (2013) concluded that

good quality personnel abound to rendered reference, loan and user education services to the satisfaction of users in academic libraries in Cross River State to enhance academic performance of the students. At the University of Ibadan, Adeyemi and Fatoki (2013) revealed that 62% of Kenneth Dike library staff support users in effectively using the library resources and services to enhance their educational pursuits. Esew and Ikyembe (2013) stressed the need for librarians to guide students in using ICT for their knowledge advancement and educational development.

Academic libraries offer various library services to enhance their value and students academic successes. Such services should be valuable and tailored to meet students' academic needs. Ajidahun (2011) averred that the contribution of library services to all professionals including university staff and students is indispensable. Assessing the impact of student use of three library services on academic performance, Kot and Jones (2014) found that the library has a positive impact on new college students' academic performance. Examining the effect of librarian-led one-shot classroom instruction on students' grade point average at the Middle Tennessee State University, Vance, Kirk and Gardner (2012) found that the instruction does have impact on students' performance. Evaluating the use of library resources and services by students of Paul University, Awka, Nkamnebe, Udem and Nkamnebe (2014) found that users are satisfied with the services and facilities provided by the library in supporting their studies. In Cross River State, Nigeria, Iyishu and Nkanu (2013) showed that library users have higher than average satisfaction with reference, lending and user education services in academic libraries in the state. Adeyemi and Fatoki (2013) found that Kenneth Dike library users are satisfied with the space, print information resources, opening hour, location, safety and security, photocopy and bindery services. On the contrary, Whitmire (2002) observed that academic library services had a negative relationship with undergraduates' self-reported gains in critical thinking.

However, McCreadie (2013) averred that raising the visibility and awareness of library services to support faculty teaching and research, as well as building robust library websites for accessing e-resources and communication between library and its users can enhance the value of academic libraries in developing countries. Creaser and Spezi (2012) also advised library managers to support and promote staff development through appropriate training and research on the value of library services and use it to enhance their services. Tunde and Issa (2013) suggested that it is good to have convenient library, opening hour, adequate lightening and qualified staff that will enhance the quality of the library and hence impact on students' education.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

The descriptive survey design was adopted in this study. The study population was UNIBEN full-time students. Simple random sampling was used to select ten faculties out of the existing 14 faculties and colleges in the university. Then, employing the equal allocation method, 50 students were randomly selected per faculty (Agriculture, Arts, Basic medical science, Education, Engineering, Law, Life science, Pharmacy, Physical science and Social science) bringing the sample size to 500 out of 30,159 student population. A self-developed questionnaire was used to collect data from the students. Thirty students in the faculty of management Sciences who were not included in the main study were used to pretest the questionnaire for reliability and the coefficient of reliability are: Part A – Biodata, Part B – Library physical infrastructures and facilities (Alpha = .82), Part C – Library information resources (Alpha = .90), Part D – Library personnel (Alpha = .84), Part E - Library information

services (Alpha = .94), and Part F – Overall library value to students' education (Alpha = .86), while the whole questionnaire yielded (Alpha = .95). The questionnaire consists of six parts A – F. Part A, consists of three questions, Part B, has eight questions, Part C, has thirteen questions, Part D, consists of seven questions, Part E, has fifteen questions and Part F, has 8 questions. The questionnaire is a 4-point Likert scale instrument: GV (Great value) = 4; LV (Little value) = 3; SV (Some value) = 2; and NV (No value) = 1. Data collection was carried out during the second semester in the 2013/14 academic session. For any of the answers to be significant and accepted, it must score a mean of 2.5 and above. Library officers-in-charge of the selected faculty libraries administered the questionnaires in their libraries after receiving instructions from the researcher. Faculty libraries were chosen because it is the location students can be easily accessed. All the 500 questionnaire administered were retrieved in usable form. Data collected were analysed by descriptive and inferential statistics using SPSS software.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Demographic results show that respondents were well distributed by level of study, sex, and age. Majority of respondents were in their 200 – 400 levels. Sixty one (61%) are male and (39%) female. Most of the students were within the age range of 21 – 30 years. This result is consistent with students demographics provided by the Office of Academic Planning of the university that male dominated the students' population and are within the age range of 21 – 30, which is the normal undergraduates' age. This result is similar to Restoum and Wade (2013) findings at the Arab International University in Syria who conducted similar study.

### Objective 1: Assess the overall value of the library to students' education

Eight items were used to elicit students' response. The result of the overall assessment rating in Table 1 revealed that the library is of great value to the students' education as it obtained a mean value of 25.37 and standard deviation 7.0219. Each item had significant impact on library value to students' education with a mean score of 3.05 and above. Therefore, the library is of great value to the students in their academic pursuits, educational and career advancement, academic performance, accessing information etc. This result should be sustained and improved upon. Restoum and Wade (2013) obtained similar result at Arab International University in Syria were students were satisfied with the quality of library service, valuable collection and equipments that supports their educational information needs. Also, Paberza (2010) found the greatest effects of the value of public library services in Latvia to improve reading and students' education.

Table 1: Mean Scores of Overall Assessment of Library Value to Students' Education

S/N	Statements	NV	SV	LV	GV	Mean	Std
1	The library resources are valuable in my	50	80	74	296	3.23	1.05
	academic pursuit	10.0%	16.0%	14.8%	59.2%		
2	The library is valuable in enhancing my	45	88	73	294	3.23	1.04
	educational studies	9.0%	17.6%	14.6%	58.8%		
3	The library is valuable to my education	45	86	83	286	3.22	1.03
	and career advancement	9.0%	17.2%	16.6%	57.2%		
4	The library is valuable in my academic	57	76	79	288	3.20	1.07
	performance	11.4%	15.2%	15.8%	57.6%		
5	The library is valuable in accessing	49	88	82	281	3.19	1.05
	information materials	9.8%	17.6%	16.4%	56.2%		
6	The library is valuable in improving my	48	87	94	271	3.18	1.03
	productivity	9.6%	17.4%	18.8%	54.2%		
7	The library is valuable in satisfying my	58	97	93	252	3.08	1.08
	research needs	11.6%	19.4%	18.6%	50.4%		
8	The library is valuable in meeting my	73	86	86	255	3.05	1.13
	information needs	14.6%	17.2%	17.2%	51.0%		

To find out if the library's value is the same across the faculty, data from the 10 faculties were tabulated as presented in Table 2. The result shows that the mean and standard deviation of the various faculties in the study were not the same meaning that there are differences by faculty.

Table 2: Value of library to students per faculty

Faculty	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Arts	24.3600	6.2849	50
Engineering	28.5000	5.4295	50
Basic medical Science	21.1800	10.0766	50
Life science	26.9200	6.4928	50
Education	27.0000	6.1776	50
Social science	24.3400	6.8886	50
Law	24.9800	5.9298	50
Agric	24.0800	7.5182	50
Physical science	25.7400	6.1006	50
Pharmacy	26.6000	6.0170	50
Total	25.3700	7.0219	500

Ho1: There is no significant difference in library value to students per faculty

To verify if the library value to students per faculty was the same, data in Table 2 was subjected to further analysis. Table 3 result revealed that there is a significant difference in library value to students' education based on their faculty (F=4.551, P<.05). The null hypothesis is therefore rejected. The resultant differences may be as a result of the level of development of information resources and services offered in the different libraries as perceived by students. Assessing library performance in Syria, Restoum and Wade (2013) obtained similar result indicating differences in the satisfaction level between student participants per faculty.

Table 3: Test of significant difference in library value to students per faculty

Source of variation	Sum of square	DF	Mean square	F	Sig.
Faculty	1897.970	9	210.886	4.551	<.0001
Error	22706.580	490	46.340		
Total	24604.550	499			

Objective 2: Determine students' value of the library physical infrastructure and facilities

The rating of the 8 items on library physical infrastructures and facilities Table 4 shows an overall mean of 22.60 and standard deviation 5.6055. Six items scored a mean above 2.5 with the faculty library ranking highest followed by lighting system, air-cooling system, John Harris library, seating capacity, while Donald Partridge e-library and library website were least valued scoring below 2.5. This result inferred that the library's infrastructural facilities are valuable to the students thus investments made recently to develop faculty libraries and improve the lighting and cooling system in the libraries have paid off and therefore should be sustained. Efforts should be made to improve on the Donald Partridge library services and the library website to impart more on students' education. This present result affirms Emokiniovo and Ogunrombi (2012) that faculty libraries were maximally used which is a reflection of its value. Therefore, faculty library system should be encouraged in all universities worldwide.

Table 4: Value of library physical infrastructure and facilities

S/N	Statements	NV	SV	LV	GV	Mean	Std
1	Your Faculty library	52	59	64	325	3.32	1.04
		10.4%	11.8%	12.8%	65.0%		
2	Lighting system	51	82	89	278	3.19	1.05
		10.2%	16.4%	17.8%	55.6%		
3	Air cooling system	58	84	108	250	3.10	1.06
		11.6%	16.8%	21.6%	50.0%		
4	John Harris main library	58	93	95	254	3.09	1.07
	_	11.6%	18.6%	19.0%	50.8%		
5	Seating capacity	63	123	118	196	2.89	1.06
		12.6%	24.6%	23.6%	39.2%		
6	MTN foundation library	138	97	90	175	2.60	1.22
	•	27.6%	19.4%	18.0%	35.0%		
7	BNet library extension	191	99	111	99	2.24	1.16
	_	38.2%	19.8%	22.2%	19.8%		
8	John Harris library website	207	104	87	102	2.17	1.17
	, and the second	41.4%	20.8%	17.4%	20.4%		

Ho 2: Library infrastructures have no relationship with Library value to students' education

To establish a relationship between library infrastructure and overall library value to students' education, result in Table 4 was further analysed. Result in Table 5 indicates that there is a positive significant relationship between the value of library to students education and library infrastructure ( $r = .467^{**}$ , N = 500, P < .01). The null hypothesis is rejected. This means that library infrastructures such as lighting, air-cooling, and seating capacity influenced library value to students' education. It is imperative that university libraries should make concerted effort to provide adequate infrastructures. Awadh (2012) obtained similar result that the library's suitable environment enhanced concentration to study thus improved students' performance in Kuwait.

Table 5: Pearson Correlation (r) between Library value to students and library infrastructures

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	R	Р	Remark
Library value to students	25.3700	7.0219				
			500	.467**	.000	Sig.
Library infrastructure	22.6040	5.6055				

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sig. at .01 level

#### **Objective 3: Ascertain the value of library information resources**

Library's information resources were assessed by the students and the result presented below. The result of the 13 items on library information resources Table 6 indicates an overall mean of 32.76 and standard deviation 10.8041 meaning that the overall library collections were of value to the students. However, seven of them, printed textbooks, reference materials, e-books, printed journals, newspapers\magazines, computers for general use, Internet web sites and databases recorded mean score above 2.5 with printed textbooks ranking highest. Others like thesis and dissertation, e-journals, conference proceedings, government documents, technical reports and lastly audio-visuals were least valued scoring below 2.5. This implied that either the resources were not readily available to students or they were not needed in the course of their study. This result is consistent with Baro, Endouware and Ubogu (2011) findings at Niger Delta University in Nigeria. In South-Eastern universities, Eze and Uzoigwe (2013) reported that newspapers, magazines, textbooks and journals were valuable and used by students while

Tenopir, Volentine and King (2012) found scholarly articles most valuable to academic staff in six UK universities.

Table 6: Value of library information resources

S/N	Statements	NV	SV	LV	GV	Mean	Std
1	Printed textbooks	72	65	79	284	3.15	1.12
		14.4%	13.0%	15.8%	56.8%		
2	Reference materials	102	97	98	203	2.80	1.17
	(dictionary\encyclopedia etc.)	20.4%	19.4%	19.6%	40.6%		
3	E-books	116	100	132	152	2.64	1.14
		23.2%	20.0%	26.4%	30.4%		
4	Printed journals	111	115	122	152	2.63	1.13
	Č	22.2%	23.0%	24.4%	30.4%		
5	Newspapers\Magazines	120	129	108	143	2.55	1.14
		24.0%	25.8%	21.6%	28.6%		
6	Computers for general use	151	89	95	165	2.55	1.23
	_	30.2%	17.8%	19.0%	33.0%		
7	Internet web sites and databases	149	105	95	151	2.50	1.21
		29.8%	21.0%	19.0%	30.2%		
8	Thesis\Dissertation	148	111	126	115	2.42	1.14
		29.6%	22.2%	25.2%	23.0%		
9	E-journals	147	118	121	114	2.40	1.13
	-	29.4%	23.6%	24.2%	22.8%		
10	Conference proceedings	165	107	128	100	2.33	1.13
		33.0%	21.4%	25.6%	20.0%		
11	Government documents	164	108	131	97	2.32	1.12
		32.8%	21.6%	26.2%	19.4%		
12	Technical reports	175	104	125	96	2.28	1.14
		35.0%	20.8%	25.0%	19.2%		
13	Audio-visuals	206	97	93	104	2.19	1.18
		41.2%	19.4%	18.6%	20.8%		

Ho 3: Library information resources have no relationship with Library value to students' education

To establish a relationship between library information resources and overall library value to students' education, Table 6 result was analysed against the overall library value to students' education. Result in Table 7 shows a weak positive significant relationship between library value to students education and library information resources (r = .427\*\*, N = 500, P < .01). The null hypothesis is rejected. It is concluded that library information resources influenced library value to students' education. This result is in tandem with Awadh (2012) that library collection was the most important and valued service within Kuwait academic library as it improves students' academic performance. Also, Cox and Jantti (2012) found a strong correlation between students' grade and use of library information resources at the University of Wollongong.

Table 7: Pearson Correlation (r) between Library value to students and information resources

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	R	Р	Remark
Library value to students	25.3700	7.0219				
			500	.427**	.000	Sig.
Library information resources	32.7580	10.8041				

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sig. at .01 level

## Objective 4: Assess the value of library personnel support to students' education

The rating of the 7 items on the value of library personnel in Table 8 produced a mean score of 18.92 and standard deviation 6.5917 which means that the library personnel were of value to the students. This was reflected as the library personnel were approachable when using the library, assist in finding information in the library, provide answers to queries, generally supportive, very hospitable and caring. Areas where the library personnel didn't make much impact scoring a mean below 2.5 were on students' education and career, and assignments. To correct these areas of deficiencies, librarians need to develop a programme aimed at assisting students with their assignments and studies. Reference librarian's role is imperative in this regard.

Table 8: Mean Scores of the value of library personnel to Students Education.

	Table 6. Mean Scores of the value	ormorary	personne	or to blue	ichts but	acation.	
S/N	Statements	NV	SV	LV	GV	Mean	Std
1	The library staff are approachable	72	90	89	249	3.03	1.12
	when using the library	14.4%	18.0%	17.8%	49.8%		
2	The library staff assist in finding	92	77	97	234	2.95	1.17
	information in the library	18.4%	15.4%	19.4%	46.8%		
3	The library staff help provide	82	89	106	223	2.94	1.13
	answers to my queries	16.4%	17.8%	21.2%	44.6%		
4	The library staff have been	97	128	95	180	2.72	1.15
	generally supportive	19.4%	25.6%	19.0%	36.0%		
5	The library staff are very	112	141	94	153	2.58	1.14
	hospitable and caring	22.4%	28.2%	18.8%	30.6%		
6	The library staff have impact on	152	119	106	123	2.40	1.16
	my career	30.4%	23.8%	21.2%	24.6%		
7	The library staff were helpful	175	103	112	110	2.31	1.17
	doing my assignments\tasks	35.0%	20.6%	22.4%	22.0%		

Ho 4: Library personnel have no relationship with library value to students' education

To establish a relationship between library personnel and overall library value to students' education. Result in Table 8 result was analysed against and overall library value to students' education. Result in Table 9 revealed a strong positive significant relationship between library value to students education and library personnel (r = .569\*\*\*, N=500, P < .01). The Null hypothesis is rejected. It is concluded that library personnel influenced library value to students' education. University libraries should therefore select librarians with certain qualities to man their readers and reference services where direct contact with students and readers is high. In other universities in Nigeria, Adeyemi and Fatoki (2013) recorded average satisfaction from library staff support to students at the University of Ibadan while Iyishi and Nkanu (2013) recorded higher than average satisfaction from library staff support to students in Cross River State with the advice that library staff should be more helpful and users friendly.

Table 3. Feat soil Correlation it ruletween Library value to students and indially dersoin	<b>Table 9: Pearson Correlation</b>	(r) between Library	v value to students and	l library personno
--	-------------------------------------	---------------------	-------------------------	--------------------

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	R	Р	Remark
Library value to students	25.3700	7.0219				
			500	.569**	.000	Sig.
Value of library personnel	18.9220	6.5917				

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sig. at .01 level

# **Objective 5: Evaluate the value of library information services**

The university library was set up to provide valuable information services in support of students' education. How have the services offered by the library been of value to the students. Table 10 rating of the 15 items on the value of library services produced a mean score of 36.38 and standard deviation 13.5754 indicating that the services were valuable. Seven of the services had a mean score above 2.5 beginning with reference services, photocopying, Internet, current awareness, collaboration with faculty and students, user education and referral services which means that the services were of value to the students. Important services that could impart directly on students work like SDI, online access and database searching and library display of new arrivals scored below 2.5 mean. These services need improvement. Similar results obtained by Peberze (2010) indicated very high satisfaction of the value of public library services in Latvia. At Arab International University Syria, Restoum and Wade (2013) found reference service as one of the essential services while Belanger, Bliquez and Mondal (2012) indicated that library instruction was most valuable to most university students in the United State of America.

Table 10: Mean Score of the Value of Library Information Services

	Table 10: Mean Score of the					1	T = -
S/N	Statements	NV	SV	LV	GV	Mean	Std
1	Reference services	123	99	102	176	2.66	1.19
		24.6%	19.8%	20.4%	35.2%		
2	Photocopying services	148	87	93	172	2.58	1.24
		29.6%	17.4%	18.6%	34.4%		
3	Internet services	148	84	112	156	2.55	1.21
		29.6%	16.8%	22.4%	31.2%		
4	Current awareness services	136	113	113	138	2.51	1.16
		27.2%	22.6%	22.6%	27.6%		
5	Collaboration with Faculty and students	149	93	110	148	2.51	1.20
	_	29.8%	18.6%	22.0%	29.6%		
6	User education\orientation for staff and	159	95	85	161	2.50	1.24
	students	31.8%	19.0%	17.0%	32.2%		
7	Referral services	151	98	99	152	2.50	1.21
		30.2%	19.6%	19.8%	30.4%		
8	Selective dissemination of information (SDI)	137	116	126	121	2.46	1.13
		27.4%	23.2%	25.2%	24.2%		
9	Access to online\Database searching	167	90	91	152	2.46	1.24
		33.4%	18.0%	18.2%	30.4%		
10	Library exhibition\display of new arrivals	169	85	109	137	2.43	1.21
		33.8%	17.0%	21.8%	27.4%		
11	Bibliography services	181	96	115	108	2.30	1.17
		36.2%	19.2%	23.0%	21.6%		
12	Bindery	184	101	94	121	2.30	1.20
		36.8%	20.2%	18.8%	24.2%		
13	Indexing and abstracting services	178	108	118	96	2.26	1.14
		35.6%	21.6%	23.6%	19.2%		
14	Document delivery\interlibrary loan services	213	84	86	117	2.21	1.22
		42.6%	16.8%	17.2%	23.4%		
15	Translation services	203	111	99	87	2.14	1.13
-		40.6%	22.2%	19.8%	17.4%		

Ho 5: Library information services have no relationship with library value to students' education

To establish a relationship between library information services and the dependent variable, result of Table 10 was analysed against overall library value to students' education. Result in Table 11 indicated a strong positive significant relationship between value of library to students and library information services (r = .558\*\*, N=500, P < .01). Thus the null hypothesis is rejected. Therefore, the value of information services influenced library value to students' education. University libraries should of necessity provide adequate information services to stand relevant. In South-East university libraries in Nigeria, Eze and Uzoigwe (2013) and Iyishi and Nkanu (2013) found reference, user education, orientation, lending and Internet services adequate to support university education and therefore valuable to students education.

Table 11: Pearson Correlation (r) between Library value to students and information services

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	R	Р	Remark
Library value to students	25.3700	7.0219				
			500	.558**	.000	Sig.
Library information services	36.3800	13.5757				

<sup>\*\*</sup> Sig. at .01 level

Ho 6: There is no combined influence of Library facilities, Information resources, Library personnel and Information services on the overall value of the library to students' education To determine the extent to which the linear combination of the independent variables predicts library value to students' education, regression analysis was performed. Table 12 reports the results of the multiple correlation of library value to students' education with library infrastructures and facilities, information resources, library personnel, and Information services. It was found that the linear combination of the variables significantly predict library value to students' education with a coefficient of multiple correlation (R = .643 and a multiple R2 of .414. This means that 41.4% of the variance was accounted for by the four predictors when taken together.

Table 12: The joint contribution of the independent variables (Library infrastructure, Library information resources, Value of library personnel and Library information services) on Overall Value of the library to students' education

value of the library to students education										
R	R Square			Adjusted R	Std. Error of the					
				Square	Estimate					
.643	.414			.409	5.3972					
ANOVA										
Model	Sum of Squares	DF	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Remark				
Regression	10185.048	4	2546.262	87.409	.000	Sig.				
Residual	14419.502	495	29.130							
Total	24604.550	499								

The significance of the composite contribution was tested at P < .05. The table shows that the analysis of variance for the regression yielded an F-ratio of 87.409 (significant at 0.05 level). This implies that the joint contribution of the independent variables to the dependent variable was significant and that other variables not included in this model may have accounted for the remaining variance.

On the relative contribution of the four independent variables to the dependent variable, the result is as presented in Table 13, expressed as beta weights, viz: library infrastructure ( $\beta$  = .185, P <.05), library information resources ( $\beta$  = -.019, P >.05), value of library personnel ( $\beta$  = .312, P <.05) and library information services ( $\beta$  = .283, P <.05) respectively. The result shows that while library infrastructure, value of library personnel and library information services were significant, library information resources was not. This finding confirms the earlier result where information resources had a small statistical score of r = .427. This trend could be due to the drift towards the use of electronics to access information, low reading culture or inaccessibility of the resources to students. Awadh (2012) obtained similar result that library collection was not as important to students' studies as many preferred to study at home using the Internet.

Table 13: Relative contribution of the independent variables (Library infrastructure, Library information resources, Value of library personnel and Library information services) on Overall Value of the library to students' education

value of the library to students education									
Model	Unstandardized Coefficient		Stand. Coefficient	Т	Sig.				
	В	Std. Error	Beta Contribution						
(Constant)	8.913	1.044		8.534	.000				
Library infrastructure	.232	.055	.185	4.183	.000				
Library information	-1.265E-02	.032	019	391	.696				
resources	.333	.048	.312	6.876	.000				
Value of library personnel	.146	.027	.283	5.470	.000				
Library information services									

## **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

Evidence from this study suggests that the library is of great value in support of students' education. The library imparted positively on students' education through the high value of its physical infrastructures and facilities, information resources, personnel and information services provided in support of all aspects of the students' education. It is recommended that the library information resources should be improved upon to make more impact while the personnel should develop means of assisting students with their assignments.

#### References

Adekanye, E.A. (2004). Library building capacities and deficiencies: a case study of two Nigerian academic libraries. Gateway Library Journal, 7(1): 21 – 30.

Adelaja, T. (2014). The problem with Nigeria Varsity. Sunday Mirror, 4 (22): 3 - 6.

Adeyemi, B.M. and Fatoki, O.C. (2013). Users perception of and satisfaction with library services: a case study of Kenneth Dike library, University of Ibadan. Information Impact: Journal of Information and Knowledge Management, 4(2): 82 – 92.

Adio, G. and Olasina, G. (2010). The role of the library in educational development. At: http://www.unilorin.edu.ng/publications/olasinage/The%20ROLE%20%0F%20LIBRARY%20IN%20 EDUCATIONAL%20DEVELOPMENT. Accessed 5thMay,2014.

Afolake, O.R.O, Oluwafemi, E.S. and Olatunji, A.F. (2014). An analytical study of Internet resources utilization among students in humanities in some selected universities in Nigeria. Nigerian Libraries, 47(1). 51 – 66.

Ajidahun, C.O. (2011). Indispensability of library services to all professions. Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal). Paper 451. Accessed 26th April 2014. At: http://digitalcommons.unl/libphilprac/451

Awadh, A. (2012). The relationship between academic library usage and perceived personal performance in Kuwait. PhD thesis, Queensland University of Technology.

Awala-Ale, I.I. (2012). Library building and services development in Nigeria for entrepreneurship education. In: Trends in Library and Information Science in Nigeria: a Festschrift in Honour of Professor Sam. Ifidon. Edited by J.O. Daniel, E.I. Ifidon and T. Okegbola.183 – 206.

Baro, E.E., Endouware, B.C. and Ubogu, J.O. (2011). Information literacy among medical students in the college of health sciences in Niger Delta University, Nigeria. Program: Electronic Library and Information Systems, 45(1): 107 – 120.

Belanger, J. Bliquez, R. and Mondal, S. (2012). Developing a collaborative faculty-librarian driven information literacy assessment project. Library Review, 61 (2): 68-91.

Brown, J.M. (2011). Demonstrating library value. Example and PPLICATIONS FOR ARTS libraries. Art Documentation, 30(1): 48 – 53.

Cox, B. and Jantti, M. (2012). Discovering the impact of library use and students' performance. EDUCAUSE Review Online. Accessed on 30th September, 2014. At: http://www.educause.edu/ero/article/discovering-impact-library-use-and.students-performance

Creaser, C. and Spezi, V. (2012). Working together: evolving value for academic libraries. June 2012. Accessed 2nd September 2013. At: www.libraryvalue.wordpress.com.

Emokiniovo, K.A. and Ogunrombi, S.A. (2012). Evaluating the use of faculty libraries in Nigerian universities: a case study of University of Benin. Nigerian Journal of Library, Archival and Information Science, 1(14): 50 – 56.

Esew, M. and Ikyembe, B.T. (2013). ICT and transformation of Nigerian libraries in enhancing educational development in academic institutions: challenges and solutions. Information and Knowledge Management, 3(12): 53 – 58.

Eze, J.U. and Uzoigwe, C.U. (2013). The place of academic libraries in Nigeria universities education: contributing to the 'Education for All' initiative. International Journal of Library and Information Science, 5(10): 432 – 438.

Farkas, M.G. (2013). Accountability vs. improvement: seeking balance in the value of academic libraries initiatives.  $OLA \ Quarterly, 19(1): 4-7.$ 

Federal Ministry of Education (FME) (2004). National policy on education. Abuja: FME.

Isyaku, A.A. (2010). The use of cell-phone in the library by students of Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi, Nigeria. The Information Technologists, 7(2): 201 – 208.

Iyishu, V.A. and Nkanu, W.O. (2013). A survey of users' satisfaction with reference, lending and user education services in academic libraries in Cross River State, Nigeria. Nigerian Libraries, 46(2): 102 – 113.

Khan, A.M. (2012). Users' perceptions of library effectiveness: a comparative users' evaluation of Central libraries of AMU, BHU, ALU and BBRAU. The International Information and Library Review, 44(2): 72 – 85.

Kot,F.C. and Jones, J. (2014). The impact of library resource utilization on undergraduate students' performance: a propensity score matching design. University Library Faculty Publications. Paper 112. http://scholarwork.gsu.edu/univ\_lib\_facpub/112.

Kuh, G.K and Gonyea, R.M. (2003). The role of the academic library in promoting students engagement in learning. College & research Libraries, 64(4): 256 – 282.

McCreadie, N. (2013). Library value in the developing world. Accessed 2nd September, 2013. At: http://www.sagepub.co.uk/librarians/dw

McRobbie, M.A. (2014). The library and education: integrating information landscapes. Council on Library and Information Resources Pub. 119. Accessed 29th April, 2014. At: http://www.clir.org/pubs/reports/pub119/mcrobbie.html

Ndirangu, M. and Udoto, M.O. (2011). Quality of learning facilities and learning environment; challenges for teaching and learning in Kenya's public universities. Quality Assurance in Education, 19 (3): 208 – 223.

Nkamnebe, E.C., Udem, O.K. and Nkamnebe, C.B. (2014). Evaluation of the use of university library resources and services by students of Paul University, Awka, Amanbra State, Nigeria. Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal). Paper 1147. Accessed 26th November 2014. At: http://digitalcommons.unl/libphilprac/1147

Ogbuiyi, S.U. and Okpe, I.J. (2013). Evaluation of library materials usage and services in private universities in Nigeria. Kuwait Chapter of Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review, 2(8): 33 – 41.

Okiy, R. B. (2012). Towards accelerated development of academic library services in Nigeria for national development in the 21st century. Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal). Paper 725. .Accessed 26th April 2014. At: http://digitalcommons.unl/libphilprac/725.

Onifade, F.N., Ogbuiyi, S.U. and Omeluzor, S.U. (2013). Library resources and services utilization by post graduate students in a Nigerian private university. International Journal of Library and Information Science, 5(9): 289 – 294.

Oyedum, G.U. (2007). Internet use in the library of federal University of Technlogy, Minna: a case study. Gateway Library Journal, 10(1): 23 – 32.

Payne, P. and Conyers, A. (2005). Measuring the impact of higher education libraries: the LIRG/SCONUL impact implementation initiative. Library and Information Research, 29 (91). Accessed 5th May, 201. At: eprints.bbk.ac.uk/148/

Paberza, K. (2010). Towards an assessment of public library value: statistics on the policy makers' agenda. Performance Management and Metrics, 11 (1). 88 - 92.

Popoola, S.O. (2008). The use of information sources and services and its effect on research output of Social Scientists in Nigerian universities. Library Philosophy and Practice, Accessed 1st October, 2013. At: http://unllib.unl.edu/LPP/popoola.htm

\_\_\_\_\_ (2009). Use of library information resources and services as predictor of the teaching effectiveness of Social scientists in Nigerian universities. African Journal of Library, Archival and Information Science, 19(1): 65 – 77.

Restoum, M. and Wade, S. (2013). The impact of library performance on students' satisfaction. In: 5th International Conference on Qualitative and Quantitative Methods in Libraries QQML 2013, 4th – 7th June 2013, Rome, Italy.

Saikia, M. and Gohain, A. (2013). Use and user's satisfaction in library resources and services: a study in Tezpur University, India. International Journal of Library and Information Science, 5(6): 167 – 175.

Student Affairs Division (2014). University of Benin orientation brochure 2013/2014. Benin City: UNIBEN.

Tella, A., Tella, A., Ayeni, C.O. and Omoba, R.O. (2007). Self-efficacy and use of information as predictor of academic performance. Electronic Journal of Academic and Special Librarianship. Accessed 13th March, 2012. At: http://southern.librarianship.icaap.org/content/v08n02/tella\_a01.html

Tenopir, C., Volentine, R. and King, D.W. (2012). Scholarly reading and the value of academic library collections: results of a study in six UK universities, Insight, 25(2):130-149.

Tunde, O.K. and Issa, A. (2013). The quality of Nigerian higher education and the funding of library services. Ozean Journal of Social Sciences, 6(2): 43 – 53.

Obasuyi, L. & Idiodi, E. O. (2015). Influence of Library Value on University Education: Students' Perception Survey. Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal, 2(7) 120-136

Ukpebor, C. (2011). A survey of Internet use by lecturers and students in engineering faculties in Edo State, Nigeria. Nigerian Libraries, 44(2): 58 – 74.

Vance, J.M., Kirk, R. and Gardner, J.G. (2012). Measuring the impact of library instruction of freshman success and persistence: a quantitative analysis. Communication in Information Literacy, 6(1): 49 – 58.

Whitmire, E. (2002). Academic library performance measure and undergraduates' library use and educational outcomes. Library and Information Science research, 24: 107 – 128.